

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1905 of 2018 90/M)
Date of decision : 11.5.2018

Gram Panchayat Mirzapur through its Sarpanch Petitioner
Versus

Satish and others Respondents
CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.K. Kaushik, Advocate,
for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in present revision is order dated 10.12.2015 (Annexure-P-4), passed by learned Additional District Judge, Hisar, in which application filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act for condonation of delay of three years and nine months in filing appeal was dismissed.

It comes out that there is delay of three years and nine months. The delay is sought to be condoned on the ground that in the month of June 2015 when term of Gram Panchayat expired and BDPO being administrator hold the charge of Gram Panchayat, after checking Court cases, it came to knowledge that against those Court cases Gram Panchayat has not filed any appeal.

Perusal of judgment shows that Government as well as BDPO were party to said proceedings. Therefore, BDPO cannot say that it was on account of lapse of Gram Panchayat that appeal was not filed. There is no illegality or infirmity in impugned order. Consequently, revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.5.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes / No