

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

**CR No.19 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.01.2018**

Som Nath Pruthi

..... Petitioner

Versus

Piyush Overseas and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Grievance of the learned counsel for the petitioner before this Court is that the application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2, CPC is not being decided by the Court. Although, a reading of order dated 22.12.2017 shows that the application for ad-interim injunction under Section 151 was disposed of. However, learned counsel for the petitioner insisted that the application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2, CPC has not been decided.

Taking into consideration the aforesaid vehement contention, the revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned trial Court to dispose of the application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2, CPC within a period of 15 days from today, if not already disposed of.

Revision petition is disposed of.

10.01.2018
Dinesh Bansal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No