

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 1877 of 2018
Date of decision: 24.5.2018**

Sunil Kumar and another ... **Petitioners**
Versus

Rajesh Kumar Gupta ... **Respondent**

2. **CR No. 1885 of 2018**

Sudesh Kumar ... **Petitioner**
Versus

Parmod Chander ... **Respondent**

3. **CR No. 1888 of 2018**

Arjan Dass ... **Petitioner**
Versus

Parmod Chander ... **Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Madan Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Advocate
for the caveator-respondents.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties submit that as the dispute stands amicably resolved between the parties, pursuant to a compromise deed dated 11.5.2018, nothing substantive survives in these petitions. However, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the respondents are required to resort to certain measures, in terms of a condition of the said compromise, and therefore, the matter be kept pending till that time.

-2-

In view of the above, the compromise deed is taken on record as Mark-A, and these petitions are disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous. However, in the event, any dispute, cause of action or interest still survives, the parties shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application for restoration of these petitions, for decision on merits.

24.5.2018
AK Sharma

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

YES
NO