

126 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1842 of 2018 (O/M)

Date of decision : 19.3.2018

Amit Kapoor

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ajay Kumar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. H.S. Dhindsa, Advocate, for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in present revision is order dated 16.2.2018 (Annexure-P-6), passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Kharar, vide which an application filed by defendant for permission to file additional evidence by way of tendering additional affidavit of plaintiff witness Puneet Sekhri was dismissed.

From the impugned order, it comes out that plaintiff witness Puneet Sekhri is still to be cross examined. According to petitioner, there were some typographical errors in the affidavit which occurred due to routine typing. As such, he wants to file additional affidavit of plaintiff witness Puneet Sekhri.

The learned counsel for petitioner relies upon the authority of this Court in *Manjit Singh Versus Kuldeep Singh and others*, 2015 (86) RCR (Civil), 508.

Considering that defendant No. 3 Puneet Sekhri has merely submitted his affidavit in chief as witness and is still to be cross examined, he is permitted to file additional affidavit in examination in chief. Therefore, without issuing notice to defendant, revision is allowed. Since petition has been allowed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

19.3.2018

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes / No