

125

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1839 of 2018 (O/M)

Date of decision : 19.3.2018

Sikandar Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Sikander Kaur through LR's

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. M.L. Saggar, Senior Advocate, with,
Ms. Veenu Garg, Advocate, for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard. The application filed by plaintiff for permission to lead additional evidence was dismissed vide order dated 5.1.2018 (Annexure-P-4), passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ludhiana on the ground that purpose of documents sought to be produced is not mentioned and further that it is only to delay the proceedings.

The learned senior counsel for petitioner seeks to withdraw present revision with liberty to file a fresh application before the lower Court giving the purpose for which the documents are sought to be produced.

It being so, petitioner is permitted to file a fresh application for production of these documents. In such event, the Court without considering the fact that previous application was dismissed, will pass a specific order and also state in the order whether these documents are necessary for just decision of case or not.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

19.3.2018

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No