

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

256

**CR No.1876 of 2017
Date of decision: 20.02.2018**

Anil Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Lichhman Dass

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Dheeraj Narula, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Service on the respondent is complete. However, no one is present on his behalf.

Plaintiff-petitioner is in the revision petition against the order closing the evidence of the plaintiff. The Court has noticed that number of opportunities have been granted to the plaintiff but he has failed to conclude his entire evidence.

Although, this Court is of the considered opinion that the sufficient opportunities have been granted, however, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that scribe, clerk of the counsel and the attesting witness of the pronote which is the basis of filing a suit for recovery are required to be examined.

Taking into consideration the facts of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that the plaintiff deserves to be granted one more opportunity to conclude the entire evidence. However, this opportunity shall be subject to the payment of cost of Rs.10,000/- payable in favour of District Bar Association.

Revision petition is allowed.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

20.02.2018
Dinesh Bansal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No