

123

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1822 of 2018 (O/M)

Date of decision : 19.3.2018

The Shahabad Co-op Sugar Mills Ltd. Shahabad (M) through its Managing
Director

..... Petitioner

Versus

M/s O.P. Traders and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sourabh Goel, Advocate, for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in present revision is order dated 7.12.2017 (Annexure-P-8), passed by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Shahabad, whereby an application filed under Order XI Rule 1 CPC, 1908, for permission to serve interrogatories has been allowed and present petitioner-defendant has been directed to answer the interrogatories No. 1 to 8 through affidavit before plaintiff is subjected to cross examination. The learned counsel for petitioner-defendant contends that interrogatories filed after withdrawing the application for summoning the witnesses and was decided almost after one year.

After hearing learned counsel for petitioner, I am of the view that this a procedural matter. If the lower Court has felt the necessity that petitioner-defendant should reply the interrogatories, there is no illegality or infirmity in exercise of jurisdiction. No ground is made out to exercise power under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. Consequently, petition is dismissed. Since main petition is dismissed, pending application if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

19.3.2018

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes / No