

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1816 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 24.5.2018

Manmohan Singh Petitioner
Versus
Chairman-cum-Managing Director PSPCL, and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate, for,
Mr. S.K. Saini, Advocate,
for petitioner.
1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to
see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned proxy counsel appearing for learned counsel for petitioner after arguing for some time states that he does not press his application filed under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC, 1908. It is stated that consequent to fact that he does not want to add more respondents, his prayer for amendment has to be re-casted to seek declaration only qua defendants-respondents No. 1 to 3, who are party to case. The learned proxy counsel seeks to withdraw present revision with liberty to file application for amendment by excluding the role of those persons who are not allowed to be made party.

Since plaintiff-petitioner is challenging his transfer orders and wants to add prayer of declaration, present revision is dismissed with liberty to plaintiff-petitioner to file fresh application for amendment in seeking declaration only qua the transfer orders.

If such application is filed, same shall be decided expeditiously.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.5.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether Reportable : Yes / No