

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

128

**CR No.2233 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.05.2018**

Harinder Kaur @ Harbhajan Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Jagtar Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajan Bansal, Advocate for respondent No.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Plaintiff-petitioner is in the revision petition against the order passed by the learned trial Court dismissing the application under Order 6 Rule 17 for permission to amend the plaint.

The suit was instituted on 14.05.2007. The plaintiff has already amended the plaint on two previous occasions. By way of amendment, now the plaintiff wants to add challenge to the decree dated 09.09.1993.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the aforesaid documents including the decree has been filed only for the purpose of comparison of the thumb impression and this is not part of their defence.

In view of the aforesaid, the amendment sought for by the plaintiff cannot be allowed particularly when the Court has noticed that both the parties have almost concluded their evidence and the suit is more than 11 years old.

Revision petition is dismissed.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

22.05.2018

Dinesh Bansal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No