

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1789 of 2018 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 19.03.2018

Krishna Kumari Kanwal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Sneh Sierra and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present: Mr. Avnish Mittal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate for the Caveator.

\*\*\*\*

**ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)**

It is not disputed that while deciding CR No.8063 of 2017 decided on 13.12.2017, this Court had directed that the dispute can be resolved only through the arbitration in view of the agreement between the parties.

The plaintiffs-petitioners have filed this revision petition against the order passed by the First Appellate Court vacating the order passed by the learned trial Court granting temporary injunction.

In the considered opinion of this Court, all the interim measures or interim relief can only be claimed by the parties either under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, before the Court or before the Arbitrator. A separate suit for injunction would not be maintainable once the dispute is to be adjudicated upon by the Arbitrator.

In view thereof, the parties are relegated to the remedy under the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

The revision petition is disposed of.

**19.03.2018**

*Pawan*

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:-                      Yes/No**

**Whether reportable:-                                      Yes/No**