

CR No.180 of 2017 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CR No.180 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 14.12.2018

JOGENDER SINGH

....PETITIONER

V/S

OM PARKASH AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Rose Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate for respondent No.1.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner and respondent No.1 are the brothers. Present revision petition is directed against the order dated 30.08.2016 where the application for restoration of the application for setting aside the *ex parte* order dated 30.09.2010 and judgment and decree dated 05.10.2010 has been dismissed.

Mr. Vivek Khatri, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1 submitted that he received telephonic information from respondent No.1 for compromise.

Mr. Rose Gupta, learned counsel for the petitioner feigns ignorance in such situation, accordingly, Mr. Vivek Khatri, learned counsel for respondent No.1 states that the parties have compromised being brothers, revision petition has become infructuous.

CR No.180 of 2017 (O&M)

No useful purpose would be served by continuing the present revision petition, the same is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty to revive in case something survives.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

December 14, 2018

rajneesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No