

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.1728 of 2018

Date of Decision.19.03.2018

Vinay Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs

Sukhwinder Kaur

.....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J.(ORAL)

After arguing at some length and realizing that this Court is not inclined to interfere for the reason that the Court had asked for the production of the child for adjudication of the petition under Section 25 of the Guardianship and Wards Act and final disposal of the same, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty of this Court to withdraw the revision petition in order to pursue with the matter at an appropriate stage.

The revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

March 19, 2018

Pankaj*

Whether reasoned/speaking Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No