

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.1758 of 2017
Date of Decision : 10.05.2018**

Rishu Garg

.....Petitioner

Versus

Meenu Rani

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Harsh Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Monika Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner-husband has filed this petition assailing the order dated 3.2.2017, rendered by the Additional District Judge, Patiala, vide which, a sum of Rs.3,500/- per month, were awarded, as maintenance pendente lite, to the respondent-wife.

A bare analysis of the impugned order reveals that nothing was brought on record by the petitioner to show that the respondent was either gainfully employed or had any independent source of income. It is not the case of the petitioner either that she own or possess any movable or immovable property. Concededly, the respondent was living separately. No doubt, nothing was placed on record to show even the earnings of the husband, but, as he was an able bodied person, and under obligation to maintain his wife, the Court awarded a sum of Rs.3,500/- per month.

For, the respondent even to survive and maintain herself would require the amount that has been awarded, no ground is made out to interfere with the impugned order dated 3.2.2017. Even otherwise, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petition filed by the husband has since been allowed and the marriage between the parties has since been annulled and declared a nullity.

No ground is made out to interfere in exercise of revisional jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. The petition is accordingly, dismissed.

10.05.2018

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No