

After hearing the learned counsel for petitioner, I am of the view that it is a case of disputed signatures on agreement of sale dated 21.9.2011,

CR No. 1630 of 2018 (O/M)

in which defendant Chander Shekhar has denied his signatures. His signatures on power of attorney are one of the specimen. However, if the Court feels that specimen signatures of defendant No. 1 are required to be taken in the Court for the purpose of comparison, no fault can be found with the same even if defendant No. 1 is living in Australia, though, he can pray to the Court for grant of time to come to India. In these circumstances, there is no ground to exercise power under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. Consequently, revision is dismissed. Since main petition has been dismissed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

13.3.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No