

**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1619 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 12, 2018

Dr. Kewal Krishan (HUF) and another Petitioners

Versus

Ajmer Singh and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Roopak Bansal, Advocate and
Mr. Vikram Gupta, Advocates for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that the petitioners had filed a suit on 25.07.2017, in which caveat was filed by the opposite party. Thereafter, the case is being adjourned and an application under Order XXXIX, Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC, is not being decided till now.

The short prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner is for directing the trial Court to decide the aforesaid application in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the opposite party, the present revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Moga to dispose of the aforesaid application within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 12, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No