

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.1611 of 2018

Date of Decision:28.09.2018

Devinder and others

..... Petitioners

Vs.

Sanjay and others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioners.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

On 03.08.2018, the following order had been passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to cite a judgment of a co-ordinate Bench of this Court and a co-ordinate Bench of the Telangana and Andhra Pradesh High Court, without referring to the judgments relied upon by the trial Court to hold that a Local Commissioner cannot be appointed to gather evidence, especially of the kind that the petitioners wished to so gather, on the question of possession of the petitioners over the suit property.

Adjourned to 10.08.2018.”

Thereafter, on August 10, 2018, none having appeared for the petitioners, even on second call, it was recorded that if the petition is not argued on the next date of hearing (i.e. today), it would be deemed to have been dismissed in default.

Today, again none has appeared for the petitioners.

That being so, this petition is dismissed in default.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

September 28, 2018.

dharamvir

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO