

242

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 2014 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 21.2.2018

Bharat Lal Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Sushila and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- None for petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate, for respondents.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for respondents has informed this Court that main suit has been decided on 27.11.2015. Consequently, instant revision, vide which dismissal of application for additional evidence impugned, has rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.2.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No