

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 1511 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.05.2018**

Jagtar Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

**The Scheduled Cast Co-Operative
Land Owing Society & Another**

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. B. S. Toor, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tahaf Bains, Advocate
for respondent No. 1.

KULDIP SINGH J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present civil revision petition is the order dated 21.11.2017 (Annexure P-7), passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Payal, vide which, an application for leading additional evidence, filed by the defendants under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioners merely want to tender the copy of the judgment dated 20.11.1992 and the statement of the same date thereon. These documents are material for the adjudication of the case which could not be produced earlier when the defendants closed the evidence.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

I am of the view that the said documents may be material and they may have bearing on the merits of the case. Therefore, the technicalities could not stand in the way of justice. Hence, the copies of the said statement and judgment dated 20.11.1992 are allowed to be tendered in the evidence, however, subject to cost of ₹5,000/- payable to the opposite party.

With the aforesaid observations, the present revision petition stands allowed.

Since the main revision is allowed, therefore, pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

25.05.2018

Waseem Ansari

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No