

I am of the view that evidence of handwriting and fingerprint expert, if any, was to be led in affirmative when evidence of plaintiff was led. The plaintiff has proved the thumb impression by examining the

CR No. 14 of 2018 (O/M)

witnesses. The mere statement of defendant denying his thumb impression on the agreement of sale may not be sufficient. Therefore, there was otherwise no necessity to examine the handwriting and fingerprint expert witness. The suit is pending since 15.7.2015 and, therefore, leading of additional evidence was rightly declined. There is no ground to interfere in the impugned order. Therefore, instant revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

9.1.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No