

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.1540 of 2014
Date of Decision:21.02.2018**

Teka and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Krishni and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.Aayush Gupta, Advocate for
Mr.Madan Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.C.B.Goel, Advocate for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Defendants-petitioners are in the revision petition against the order dated 23.1.2014, allowing the application under Order 6 Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure, so as to correct the typographical mistakes in description of the property.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has vehemently submitted that the suit filed by the plaintiffs is not maintainable and the earlier suit filed by brother of the plaintiffs on the same cause of action was dismissed.

Be that as it may. Before this Court, the order under challenge is only to the effect that certain typographical mistakes in describing the rectangle and khasra numbers of the property have been allowed.

In the considered opinion of this Court, such amendment can even be allowed after decision of the case in exercise of the powers under

Section 152 of the Code of Civil Procedure. No ground is made out to

interfere in the impugned order passed by the learned trial court. However,

CR No.1540 of 2014

-2-

since the suit is pending for the last 11 years, therefore, the learned trial court is requested to decide the suit within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of, accordingly.

21.02.2018
mks

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No