

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-1364-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 27.2.2018**

Rohit and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Rambir and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sukhdev Singh Gopera, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 1.2.2018 (Annexure P-9), passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Bahadurgarh, vide which evidence of the plaintiffs-petitioners was closed by order.

It comes out that this case was instituted in the year 2013 and plaintiffs were granted 13 effective opportunities out of which on four occasions, last opportunity was granted. Plaintiffs failed to conclude their evidence.

It comes out from the two orders passed on 1.2.2018 that plaintiff-Rohit was present outside the Court but he appeared only after passing of the order and his presence was marked in the second order passed on the said date.

Considering that there was some misunderstanding on account of which plaintiff-Rohit could not come inside the Court, only one opportunity is granted to produce plaintiff-Rohit for cross-examination on the date to be fixed

by the learned trial Court. No other witness(s) shall be allowed to be examined and the impugned order dated 1.2.2018, passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Bahadurgarh, closing the remaining evidence by order is upheld.

Revision is partly allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

27.2.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No