

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1329 of 2018 (O/M)

Date of decision : 21.5.2018

Sukhjit Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Harjit Kaur and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate,
for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that application filed by petitioner under Order VI Rule 17 CPC, 1908, for amendment of plaint has been dismissed. It is stated that some of khasra numbers belong to Baljinder Kaur, wife of Jagir Singh and inadvertently these were included in suit. Said amendment was disallowed. Suit is of year 2014.

I am of view that if some additional khasra numbers have been mentioned in suit, plaintiff can always make statement, withdrawing suit regarding said khasra numbers. In alternate, at the time of arguments, she is given liberty to pray to the Court withdrawing relief regarding said khasra numbers, stating that these belong to Baljinder Kaur, wife of Jagir Singh. With aforesaid liberty, revision is dismissed. Since main case has been dismissed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.5.2018

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No