

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.126 of 2018
Date of Decision.12.01.2018

Smt. Sangita

.....Petitioner

Vs

Manoj Kumar

.....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. M.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-:-

AMIT RAWAL J.(ORAL)

It is a classic case where both husband and wife are handicapped, yet the husband is seeking divorce against the wife. The present revision petition has been preferred by the petitioner-wife against the impugned order dated 26.09.2017 declining the application to grant maintenance *pendent lite* under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

The petitioner-wife submits that the husband is enjoined upon an obligation and pious duty to maintain the wife.

Since both the husband and wife are handicapped, in my view, it would not be in the fitness of things to seek interference in the order under challenge. However, it is directed that the trial Court shall make an endeavour to complete the trial of the divorce petition as expeditiously as possible.

In view of the above, while upholding the order under challenge, the revision petition stands disposed of.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

January 12, 2018
Pankaj*

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No