

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.1293 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: 31.08.2018

Jagpal and others
Versus
Murti

.....Petitioners
.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. A.K. Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. R.D. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

[1]. It is not in dispute that in view of ratio laid down in **State of Punjab and another Vs. Jalour Singh and others, 2008(1) RCR (Civil) 857** and **Kothakapu Muthyam Reddy and others Vs. Bhargavi Constructions, rep., by its Managing Partner, Sri V. Ramachandra and others, 2015(56) RCR (Civil) 175**, the award of the National Lok Adalat can only be assailed by way of petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India and that too, on the limited grounds. Jurisdiction of the Civil Court is barred.

[2]. Learned counsel for the respondent has not disputed

the aforesaid proposition.

[3]. In view of above, impugned order is set aside.
Application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC is accepted, thereby
rejecting the plaint, however with a liberty to the plaintiff to avail
her remedy in accordance with law.

[4]. Disposed of.

August 31, 2018
Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No