

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1241 of 2018

Date of Decision : 22.02.2018

Dev Raj and Sons

....Petitioner

Versus

Bakshi Ganda Singh and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Inder Jeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Surinder Gupta, J. (Oral)

Heard.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the Executing Court is proceeding further to execute the ejectment order despite the fact that curative petition has been filed in the Hon'ble Apex Court.

Petitioner was ordered to be ejected vide order dated 15.10.2014 by learned Rent Controller, Patiala. He filed appeal against that order, which was dismissed vide order dated 16.11.2015. Revision petition filed in this Court was also dismissed vide order dated 11.07.2016. Petitioner then approached the Hon'ble Apex Court but could not succeed and SLP filed by them was also dismissed vide order dated 19.09.2016. This did not deter the petitioner from pursuing the matter further and they filed review petition before Hon'ble Apex Court, which was also dismissed on 31.01.2017. Now curative petition is stated to have been filed before Hon'ble Apex Court in which there is no stay of execution of the ejectment order.

In these facts and circumstances, the Executing Court is rightly

proceeding further to execute the ejectment order against the petitioner. I

find no merit in submissions of learned counsel for petitioner that till pendency of curative petition before Hon'ble Apex Court, Executing Court may be directed not to execute the ejectment order.

This revision petition has no merit and the same is dismissed.

February 22, 2018
jk

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No