

110.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.12 of 2018

Date of decision:09.01.2018

RAJ SINGH

... Petitioner

versus

RAJ BALA & ORS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is for quashing/setting aside order dated 30.10.2017 passed by learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Gurugram (Annexure P-1) whereby the application of the petitioner for appointment of a Local Commissioner has been dismissed.

In view of judgment passed by this Court in **Ganpat Versus Satvir and others-2013 (19) RCR (Civil) 46**, no revision lies against the order passed by learned trial Court refusing to appoint Local Commissioner in its discretion. The discretion exercised by the trial Court is not liable to be interfered by this Court in its revisional jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

09.01.2018

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.