

**106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1237 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 16, 2018

Smt. Sonia Bhasin Lamba

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Arun Kumar Lamba

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

It comes out that the plaintiff filed his affidavit by way of evidence. He also tendered some documents. This was objected by the defendant by filing the application under Section 151 CPC, 1908.

The Court while disposing of the said application, ordered that the question whether the documents have been duly proved in accordance with Indian Evidence Act, 1872, will be decided at the time of judgment and its high time that defendant should conduct the cross-examination of PW1 instead of moving one application or the other.

I am of the view that the trial Court had taken the right approach. The present revision petition is found frivolous and is dismissed in limini.

Since the main petition has been dismissed, therefore, the pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 16, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No