

**232 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.1593 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 26, 2018**

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. Petitioner

Versus

Ranjit Singh Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Roshan Lal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naresh Kaushal, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 07.01.2016 (Annexure P-4) vide which the warrants of attachment of the property of the JDs were ordered to be issued. Also impugned the order dated 28.01.2016 (Annexure P-6), vide which an application for recalling of the warrants of attachment has been dismissed and the contention of learned counsel for the JDs on the interest of solatium and the additional compensation was negated, holding that such objection has already been decided by the Executing Court vide order dated 19.08.2015.

After going through the impugned orders, I am of the view that once it is found by the Executing Court that the amount is due, the warrants of attachment could be legally issued and once the objection of the JDs has been decided by the Executing Court, the same cannot be entertained by filing the application for recalling the warrants of attachment.

Therefore, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned orders.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed. All the connected misc. applications also stand disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 26, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No