

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(1)

CR No.1356 of 2006 (O&M)
Dated of decision:17.10.2018

Smt. Usha Rani

....Petitioner

Versus

Vir Parkash

...Respondent

(2)

CR No.1357 of 2006 (O&M)

Smt. Usha Rani

....Petitioner

Versus

Vir Parkash

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present:- Mr. Rahi Mehra, Advocate for
Mr. Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sachin Dhull, Advocate for
Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate for the respondent.

LISA GILL, J.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the property in question has been vacated by the respondent/tenant. Therefore, both the revision petitions filed by the landlady have rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite efforts made by him to contact the petitioner, he was unable to do so.

Be that as it may, the above said revision petitions are dismissed as having been rendered infructuous in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondent.

Needless to say, petitioner is at liberty to seek revival of these petitions within two months from today in case the averments as above are not as per the factual position.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

October 17, 2018
Ishwar