

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 108 of 2018 (O/M)

Date of decision : 11.1.2018

Jaspreet Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Rajinder Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. N.S. Dadwal, Advocat, for petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for petitioners contends that, vide order dated 5.12.2017, the evidence of plaintiffs was closed by orders. It is contended that only two witnesses were to be cross examined.

The perusal of impugned order shows that six effective opportunities were granted, but the plaintiffs failed to conclude their evidence, despite last opportunity being granted to them for this purpose. Though, there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order, however, in the interest of justice, plaintiffs-petitioners are granted one more opportunity to conclude their evidence and produce the witnesses for cross examination, subject to payment of Rs.10,000/- as costs, payable to defendant.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.1.2018

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No