

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR 1449-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.01.2018

**Varandeep Kaur minor through her next friend and guardian mother
Amandeep Kaur**

.....Petitioner

versus

Hakam Singh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Ashok Goel, Advocate for the respondents

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Affidavit in terms of the order dated 11.1.2017 passed by this Court giving undertaking of respondents that they will not alienate the suit property or create charge thereon has not been filed despite last opportunity. Further adjournment is declined. It comes out that on 11.1.2017, counsel for respondent nos.1 and 2 had made a statement before this Court that respondents will not alienate the suit property in any manner nor they will create charge thereon. Statement made by counsel is binding upon respondent nos.1 and 2 even without their affidavit being filed before this Court.

It being so, the present petition is disposed of with the directions that an undertaking given by counsel for respondent nos.1 and 2 is binding upon them so as not to alienate the suit property during the proceedings or create any charge thereon.

Disposed of.

18.01.2018
gk

(Kuldeep Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No