

**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1019 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : February 15, 2018

Bali

..... Petitioner

Versus

M/s Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. And others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rajnish Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the Arbitration Award was passed on 27.06.2009. Earlier, the execution petition was filed in the year 2013. Now, the second execution petition has been filed in the year 2017 i.e. beyond the period of two years. The Executing Court without issuing notice to the J.D, has ordered the attachment, vide impugned order dated 21.12.2017 (Annexure P-8).

In the circumstances, the petitioner-J.D. is directed to put in appearance before the Executing Court within two weeks. The execution of warrants of sale of attached property are stayed.

The learned Addl. District Judge, Kaithal, is directed to hear the petitioner-J.D. and then pass a fresh order regarding issuance of warrants of sale of the attached property.

Disposed of.

However, it is made clear that if J.D. does not put in appearance before the Executing Court within the time fixed by this Court, the present revision is deemed to have been dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 15, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Whether Reportable:

Yes

No