Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2018.05.11 12:07
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1452 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 8.5.2018

Harkrishan Lal ..... Petitioner

Versus

M/s Sardari Lal Milkhi Ram Commission Agents and others
..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Jasuja, Advocate,
for petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Chahal, Advocate,
for respondent No. 1.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for petitioner states that in the said case, application filed by deceeholder under Order XXI Rule 37 CPC, 1908, has been withdrawn. Therefore, he withdraws revision.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.5.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No