

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : January 02, 2018

Nitin Goyal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Chitkara International School and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioner Nitin Goyal in person.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The short grouse of the petitioner is that in a suit for permanent injunction filed by the petitioner, an application dated 15.02.2017 (Annexure A-4) under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 read with Section 151 CPC is pending, in which according to the petitioner 14 adjournments have been granted. Arguments have also been heard but the order is not being pronounced.

Petitioner, who is present in person, contends that his application will become infructuous, if the order is not pronounced.

Without going into the merits of contentions of the petitioner and the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Chandigarh, to dispose of the application of the petitioner filed under Order 39, Rule 1 & 2 read with Section 151 CPC (Annexure A-4) within one week i.e. before 09.01.2018.

Copy of this order be given to the petitioner under signature of
Bench Secretary for onward transmission to the trial court.

The Registry is also directed to send the copy of this order to
the trial court through fax.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

January 02, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No