

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

130

CR No.1015 of 2017 (O & M)
Date of Decision:01.10.2018

Raghuvir Parshad Sharma (since deceased) through LRs ...Petitioner

Versus

Sarla Nevtia ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Vij, Advocate
 for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

Tenant-petitioner is in the revision petition against the order passed by the learned Rent Controller dismissing the application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC for permission to amend the reply filed.

In the considered opinion of this Court, the application filed is not bona fide.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute that the case was at the fag end when the present application was filed.

Still further, tenant has already taken a stand that the respondent is not her landlady and the facts which are sought to be pleaded were in the knowledge of the petitioner-tenant.

In view thereof, there is no ground to interfere.

Revision petition is dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are disposed of, in view of the above said judgment.

01.10.2018
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No