

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

231

COCN No.908 of 2017

Date of Decision: September 24<sup>th</sup>, 2018

Amresh Chander Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Sukriti Likhi, IAS

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate  
for Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate  
for the respondent.

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)**

Counsel for the respondent states that on deciding the legal notice dated 02.09.2016 received from the petitioner, an amount of ₹37,540/- was found due and the said amount has been credited in his bank account on 17.09.2017. He, therefore, contends that the present contempt petition does not survive.

Perusal of the record indicates that the counsel for the petitioner has not been appearing in the case and, therefore, the petitioner appears to be satisfied.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

**September 24<sup>th</sup>, 2018**  
*Puneet*

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No