

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.825 of 2018

Date of decision : 09.03.2018

Darshan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Amarjeet and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

In pursuance of order dated 18.09.2017 (Annexure P-1), reply to the legal notice has been furnished but the claim of the petitioner has not been considered.

On perusal of order dated 18.09.2017, it shows that the direction was to respondents No.3 and 5 to look into the grievances as mentioned in the legal notice.

The petitioner has been conveyed the reasons for not finding him entitled for some of the benefits. In the case the petitioner is aggrieved by that order, which is Annexure P-5, he is at liberty to challenge the same.

Disposed of.

09.03.2018

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No