

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH

217

COCP-851-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.07.2018

PARMILA

...PETITIONER

V/S

RAM NIWAS

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Sr. DAG, Haryana,
for the State.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court alleging non-compliance of the order dated 26.10.2016 passed by this Court in CWP No. 22324 of 2016 preferred by the petitioner, wherein directions were issued to the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Reply to the contempt petition has been filed, according to which, the representation of the petitioner has been duly considered and rejected vide order dated 07.02.2018 (Annexure R-1/1) passed by the Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Haryana, Home Department.

Counsel for the petitioner states that while considering the claim of the petitioner, the respondent has ignored the fact that the similarly placed employees as the petitioner had been granted the benefit, as has been claimed by the petitioner but her representation has been rejected vide the order, referred to above.

If that be so, there is a remedy available for the petitioner and she should avail of her remedy in accordance with law, if so advised.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

July 05, 2018

pj

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No