

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

870

**CR No.1372 of 2011 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.08.2018**

Attam Parkash Mittal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Harish Kumar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for LR's of respondent No.2.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, has pointed out that in spite of his best efforts, the petitioner has not responded to his communications. Hence, he pleads no instructions.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the legal heirs of respondent No.2 has pointed out that his client has vacated the premises.

In these circumstances, this Court is left with no choice but to dismiss the revision petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for revival, if required.

10.08.2018
Dinesh Bansal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No