

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH

215

COCp-689-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision:10.08.2018

MANJIT KAUR SANDHU

...PETITIONER

V/S

SUBHASH VERMA

..RESPONDENT

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI*

Present: Mr. S.S.Salar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Armaan Gagneja, Advocate,
for Mr. M.S.Batth, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner does not dispute this fact that the order passed by this Court has been complied with. However, he states that the claim of the petitioner for grant of alternative plot and for deposit of the amount for the plot on old rates has been rejected and the petitioner has filed a writ petition challenging both these orders.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

August 10, 2018

pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No