

**231 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.687 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION:15.02.2018

Paramjeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

Balwinder Singh & others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Ramesh Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for respondent No.1.

Mr. A. S. Cheema, Advocate for
respondent Nos.2 & 3.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

Separate reply has been filed by way of short affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos.2 & 3 in the Court today and the same are taken on record.

Learned counsel for the respondent on the basis of reply submits that order dated 08.12.2015 has been complied with. He also submits that the claim of the petitioner has been allowed and order in this regard has been passed on 04.01.2017. It has also been decided to release the whole amount in six monthly instalments, out of which three instalments have already been released to the petitioner as mentioned in Para Nos.3 & 5 of the reply.

In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the respondent and the stand taken in the reply by way of short affidavit,

COCP No.687 of 2017 :2:

nothing survives in the contempt petition. However, it is directed that the instalments be released regularly. In case there is any default in releasing the instalments by the respondents, the petitioner is at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition. In case the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner with regard to amount to be paid to him, he is at liberty to move a detailed representation to the respondents which will be considered by them in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

15.02.2018
rimpal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
Whether reportable:	Yes/No