

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-574-2018
Date of Decision: October 30, 2018

Rashid

...Petitioner

Versus

D.L. Hansu

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Chuahan, Advocate, for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

In compliance with the order dated 10.05.2017 passed by this Court in CWP No.12525 of 2016 (Annexure P-1), whereby, after revoking of the suspension period of the petitioner on 07.02.2017 and thereafter the petitioner rejoining on 09.02.2017, especially in the light of the fact that no departmental enquiry has been continued against the petitioner, the suspension period of the petitioner was to be treated as duty period, an order dated 10.05.2018 (Annexure R-1) has been passed by the respondent, according to which, on consideration of the representation of the petitioner regarding regularization of the suspension, the Competent Authority had taken a decision to treat the suspension period from 01.06.2016 to 05.09.2016 as duty period.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition has been rendered infructuous. Disposed of as such.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

October 30, 2018
Harish

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No