

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

232

COCN No.538 of 2018

Date of Decision: October 15th, 2018

Vikas Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Dr. J. Ganeshan and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashik Ali, Advocate
for Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Short reply by way of affidavit of Estate Officer-II, HSVP,
Gurugram, has been filed in Court, taken on record. Copy of the speaking
order dated 03.07.2018 has been appended along with the reply.

In the light of the same, the present contempt petition has been
rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

In case the petitioner is aggrieved of the action/decision taken
by the respondents, he may avail of the remedy in accordance with law,
as has been observed even by the Division Bench in its order
dated 07.11.2017 (Annexure P-1).

Rule stands discharged.

October 15th, 2018

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No