

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No. 496 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.04.2018**

Vijay Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Mukesh Kumar Ahuja, Director Urban Estate Haryana
and Special Secretary to Govt. Haryana, Urban Estates Department,
Panchkula

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The land of petitioner (Vijay Kumar) measuring 117.10 Square Yards comprised in Khewat No. 2332 Khatuni No. 2978 Sq. No. 18 Killa No. 19/2, situated at Village Khairpur within the Municipal Limits of Sirsa, as per jamabandi for the year 2007-08, was acquired vide Award dated 12.01.2011.

It is averred that initially the petitioner filed a writ petition bearing *CWP No. 22122 of 2013* before this Court, seeking release of the land in the light of decision dated 31.10.2013 passed by a Division Bench in case *Lakhan Pal etc. Versus State of Haryana*. It is further averred that in the intervening period, there was some remand from Hon'ble the Supreme Court and accordingly, the petitioner filed separate writ petition bearing *CWP No. 1809 of 2015* seeking relief of de-notification/release of property alongwith construction of the petitioner.

The said writ petition bearing *CWP No. 1809 of 2015* was disposed of vide order dated 02.03.2017 (**Annexure P-1**) by a Division

Bench of this Court, while deciding bunch of petitions in *CWP No. 16857 of 2010*, titled as '*The Sirsa Adarsh Cooperative House Building Society Limited and another Versus State of Haryana and others*', with a direction to the authorities to decide the representations to be filed by the petitioners within a period of three months from the date of receipt of such representation after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.

The petitioner, thereafter, submitted representation dated 27.03.2017 (**Annexure P-2**) before the Director General, Urban Estates Department, Haryana, Chandigarh, but no action has been taken so far, hence the present contempt petition.

At the time of hearing, counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

April 18, 2018

'dk kamra'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>