

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2018.01.29 12:57
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

COCN No.421 of 2017

Date of Decision: 23.01.2018

Romika and others

....Petitioners

Versus

M.L. Kaushik and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S. Mann, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that the judgment passed in case **CWP No.11254 of 2010** titled as **Neelam Rani vs The State of Haryana and others** decided on **15.05.2013** was challenged by way of filing SLP and order could not be implemented. Subsequently, after decision of SLP in **Neelam Rani's** case (supra), a policy decision has been taken by the respondent-State that benefits will be released to all persons.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the respondent-State, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners in view of policy within a period of three months from today.

However, in case, the order is not complied with within a period of three months, the petitioners are at liberty to move an application for revival of contempt petition.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

23.01.2018

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No