

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

104-A

C.O.C.P. NO.350 OF 2017

DATE OF DECISION: SEPTEMBER 11, 2018

Amar Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Kuldip Kumar and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajbirinder Singh Chahal, Addl.A.G., Punjab,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Case has been called twice.

None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

The petitioner had approached this Court, alleging non-compliance of the order passed by this Court in a writ petition, which was preferred by him wherein directions were issued to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner in view of the instructions dated 23.01.2001 and 17.11.2011 and pass necessary orders in accordance with law within a period of two weeks. In case the order was not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would avail of his alternative remedy in accordance with law.

Upon notice having been issued, the respondents have filed

reply.

In the reply, which has been filed, copy of the speaking order dated 25.04.2017 passed by the Divisional Forest Officer, Gurdaspur, has been appended as Annexure R-1, wherein the claim of the petitioner has been considered and decided.

In reply to the speaking order, which has been filed by the petitioner, it has been asserted that the order has wrongly been passed by the respondents.

Be that as it may, the limited direction, which was issued by this Court, was to consider the claim of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, which the respondents have complied with.

In case the petitioner is aggrieved of the said order, he may avail of his remedy in accordance with law.

The contempt petition stands disposed of accordingly.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

September 11, 2018
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No