

In the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh

Smriti
2018.01.22 12:52
Signed for the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

COCP-448-2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.01.2018

RABINDER AND ANR

..... PETITIONERS.

VS.

RAJAN GUPTA AND ORS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S.Mann, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Yogesh Putney, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY,J.(ORAL)

CM-11545-CII-2013

This application is for placing on record replication alongwith
Annexure P6.

Application is allowed. Replication alongwith Annexure P6
annexed with the application is taken on record.

CM-13050-CII-2013

This application is for placing on record Annexure P7.

Application is allowed. Annexure P7 annexed with the
application is taken on record.

COCP-448-2013

Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 submits that similar
petition bearing COCP No. 1941 of 2011 has already been decided and a
finding has been recorded to the effect that the land acquired is not
agricultural land and no contempt is made out.

In view of statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.2, nothing survives in the contempt petition as there is no dispute that it was not agricultural land.

Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of. However in case, the petitioners are still aggrieved in any manner or the statement made by learned counsel for respondent No. 2 is found to be incorrect, the petitioners are at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

16.01.2018
smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No