

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

104

C.O.C.P. NO.349 OF 2017

DATE OF DECISION: SEPTEMBER 11, 2018

Shiv Singh and others

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

Kuldip Kumar and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajbirinder Singh Chahal, Addl.A.G., Punjab,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Case has been called twice.

None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners.

The petitioners had approached this Court, alleging non-compliance of the order passed by this Court in a writ petition, which was preferred by them wherein directions were issued to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners in view of the instructions dated 23.01.2001 and 17.11.2011 and pass necessary orders in accordance with law within a period of two weeks. In case the order was not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would avail of their alternative remedy in accordance with law.

Upon notice having been issued, the respondents have filed

reply.

In the reply, which has been filed, copy of the speaking order dated 25.04.2017 passed by the Divisional Forest Officer, Gurdaspur, has been appended as Annexure R-1, wherein the claim of the petitioners has been considered and decided.

In reply to the speaking order, which has been filed by the petitioners, it has been asserted that the order has wrongly been passed by the respondents.

Be that as it may, the limited direction, which was issued by this Court, was to consider the claim of the petitioners and pass a speaking order, which the respondents have complied with.

In case the petitioners are aggrieved of the said order, they may avail of their remedy in accordance with law.

The contempt petition stands disposed of accordingly.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

September 11, 2018
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No