

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.3488 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 12.03.2018

SMT. HARBANS KAUR

..... Petitioner

Versus

**SH. ANURAG AGGARWAL, HOME SECRETARY, UT
ADMINISTRATION, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS**

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate for the respondent(s).

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner (Harbans Kaur), who is the widow of late Shri Gurnam Singh, was appointed on compassionate grounds on work charge basis against Class-IV post on 08.06.1993. She had filed a writ petition bearing *CWP No.15338 of 2013*, seeking directions to the respondents to treat her appointment on regular basis from the date of her initial appointment i.e. 08.06.1993 till the date of her retirement i.e. 31.03.2012.

The aforesaid writ petition was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 18.05.2017 (**Annexure P-1**), with a direction to the competent authority '*to treat the petitioner as a regular employee from the date of her appointment and to fix the amount and make the payment within four months from the receipt of a certified copy of the order, failing which the petitioner would be entitled to claim interest @ 8% p.a. on the due amount/s from the date/s the same fell due.*'

Since the needful was not done within the stipulated time of four months, hence, the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, a reply by way of affidavit of Shri N.P. Sharma, Superintending Engineer (B&R), Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh, has been filed in Court today, wherein it is stated that the petitioner has been treated as a regular employee from the date of her appointment and all the benefits admissible to her have been paid vide letter dated 22.01.2018 & 07.03.2018 (**Annexures R/1 & R/2**) respectively.

In view of above, learned counsel for the respondent(s) states that since the order dated 18.05.2017 (**P-1**) has been complied with, therefore, no further action in the present contempt is warranted, as the same has become infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous.

Rule is discharged.

March 12, 2018

'rajneesh'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>