

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No. 3487 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 12.01.2018

Geeta Rani and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Munish Bindra, Nodal Officer, Bharti Airtel Telecommunication
Company Ltd, Chandigarh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Gagan Oberoi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sanjeev Pabbi, Advocate
for respondent No. 1- Bharti Airtel Telecommunication Co. Ltd.

Mr. Vishal Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No. 2-Idea Cellular Services.

Mr. Manoj Bajaj, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

As per directions dated 08.05.2015 (**Annexure P-1**) passed by this Court in *CRM-M No. 41795 of 2014*, Idea Cellular Services were directed to preserve the call details of the mobile numbers specified in the petition till the conclusion of the criminal trial in FIR No. 18 dated 01.02.2014 for offence under Section 22 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Shimlapuri, District Ludhiana.

Not only the counsel for Idea Cellular Services/respondent No. 2, but also the counsel for Bharti Airtel/respondent No. 1 submit that the call details of the mobile numbers specified in the order dated 08.05.2015 (**P-1**) have been preserved but also submitted before the Court

below. Thus, it is submitted that no further proceedings in the present contempt petition are warranted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to dispute the aforesaid factual position, however, submits that the officials are not coming forward to prove the same, which would be a matter to be taken up before and addressed by the trial Court.

In view of the above, no further action in the present contempt is warranted, therefore, the same is **dismissed**.

January 12, 2018

'dk kamra'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>